Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00187 01 OF 02 141821Z ACTION ACDA-02

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 PA-01 INR-01 ACDE-00 /005 W

-----141841Z 075130 /42

O R 141719Z APR 77 ZFF-4

FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2081

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

USIA WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USMISSION NATO

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

UNCLAS SECTION 1 OF 2 MBFR VIENNA 0187

USIS FOR IPS/PE FOR LAFE ALLAN; IEU FOR KORDEK (FOR DEPT OF STATE BULLETIN)

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: END OF ROUND XI PRESS STATEMENT GIVEN

BY NETHERLANDS AMBASSADOR DE VOS APRIL 15, 1977

REF: MBFR VIENNA 0186

FOLLOWING IS TEXT OF STATEMENT FOR APRIL 14 WIRELESS FILE "EMBARGO UNTIL 1300 GMT APRIL 15". PRESS STATEMENT BY AMBASSADOR WILLIAM DE VOS:

PRESS BRIEFING BY AMBASSADOR
W. DE VOS VAN STEENWIJK
ON BEHALF OF THE WESTERN PARTICIPANTS
IN THE MBFR NEGOTIATIONS

DURING THE PAST ROUND, WE HAVE DEALT BOTH WITH A NUMBER OF UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00187 01 OF 02 141821Z

GENERAL TOPICS AND WITH THE PROBLEM OF THE DISCREPANCY BETWEEN EASTERN AND WESTERN FIGURES ON WARSAW PACT MILITARY MANPOWER.

ONE OF THE GENERAL TOPICS WESTERN PARTICIPANTS HAVE
CONCENTRATED ON DURING THE ROUND IS THE NEED FOR THE EAST TO
MOVE AWAY FROM ITS BASIC CONCEPTUAL APPROACH TO THESE NEGOTIATIONS,
NAMELY, THAT ALL ELEVEN DIRECT PARTICIPANTS IN THESE NEGOTIATIONS

MUST SUBMIT TO AN IDENTICAL REDUCTION FORMULA, AS THOUGH THEY WERE IDENTICAL IN ALL RELEVANT RESPECTS: THAT IS, IDENTICAL IN MILITARY IMPORTANCE, IDENTICAL IN THEIR GEOGRAPHIC SITUATION AND IDENTICALLY AFFECTED BY THE CONSEQUENCES OF REDUCTIONS AND LIMITATIONS OF THEIR FORCES.

OBVIOUSLY, THIS APPROACH IS NOT A LOGICAL OR REALISTIC ONE IF ONE LOOKS AT THE IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES WHICH ACTUALLY EXIST AMONG THE DIFFERENT PARTICIPANTS. BECAUSE OF THESE DIFFERENCES, THE EAST'S INSISTENCE THAT ALL DIRECT PARTICIPANTS SHOULD BE TREATED IDENTICALLY WOULD RESULT IN GROSS INEQUITIES AND DIMINISH WESTERN SECURITY.

WHAT ARE THESE DIFFERENCES? THEY ARE, FIRST OF ALL, THE ENORMOUS DIFFERENCES IN THE MILITARY STRENGTH OF PARTICIPANTS. THE PARTICIPANTS IN THESE TALKS INCLUDE THE WORLD'S LARGEST MILITARY POWERS, THE US AND USSR, AS WELL AS COUNTRIES WITH MUCH LESS MILITARY POTENTIAL. BOTH THE US AND USSR POSSESS A LARGE AND VARIED STRATEGIC NUCLEAR CAPABILITY. NONE OF THE OTHER PARITICIPANTS POSSESSES ANYTHING REMOTELY COMPARABLE. CLEARLY IT IS NOT REALISTIC TO EXPECT PARTICIPANTS TO OVERLOOK THIS BASIC FACT OF THESE NEGOTIATIONS.

SECOND, THERE ARE IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE DIFFERENT PARTICIPANTS AS TO GEOGRAPHICAL SITUATION. THE SOVIET UNION, WHICH IS THE LARGEST POWER ON THE EASTERN SIDE, IS SITUATED IN DIRECT PROXIMITY TO THE AREA OF REDUCTIONS. THE US, ON THE OTHER HAND, WHICH IS THE COMPARABLE WESTERN POWER, IS LOCATED AT A DISTANCE OF 5,000 KILOMETERS ON THE OTHER SIDE UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00187 01 OF 02 141821Z

OF THE ATLANTIC OCEAN.

ANOTHER IMPORTANT DIFFERENCE IS THAT SOME OF THE PARTICIPANTS HAVE SUBSTANTIALLY ALL THEIR FORCES IN THE AREA. SUBSTANTIALLY ALL OF THEIR FORCES WILL THEREFORE BE AFFECTED UNDER ANY LIMITATION. BUT OTHER PARTICIPANTS HAVE ONLY A PORTION OF THEIR FORCES IN THE AREA AND IT IS ONLY THIS PORTION OF THEIR FORCES THAT WOULD IN ANY WAY BE LIMITED UNDER AN AGREEMENT.

THE SOVIET UNION AND THE US BOTH FALL IN THIS SECOND CATEGORY, OF COURSE. BUT IN THE CASE OF THE SOVIET UNION, WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE PRESENCE, ON TERRITORY DIRECTLY ADJACENT TO THE REDUCTIONS AREA, OF EXTREMELY LARGE FORCES WITH AN IMPOSING ARRAY OF THE MOST MODERN EQUIPMENT.

FINALLY, THERE IS THE IMPORTANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN EAST AND WEST WITH REGARD TO THE OVERALL NUMERICAL LEVELS OF THEIR FORCES IN THE AREA. THE EAST POSSESSES SIZEABLE NUMERICAL ADVANTAGES IN GROUND FORCE MANPOWER AND IN OVERALL MILITARY

MANPOWER IN THE AREA. IT ALSO HAS SUPERIORITY IN NUMBERS OF MOST TYPES OF MAJOR ARMAMENTS.

THESE ARE IMPORTANT REALITIES WHICH DEFINE THE NEGOTIATING SITUATION WE ARE ADDRESSING IN THESE TALKS. HOWEVER, THE EAST CONTINUES TO PRESS AN APPROACH WHICH DELIBERATELY DISREGARDS THESE REALITIES. IN DEFIANCE OF THE FACTS, IT HAS INSISTED THAT THE REDUCTION FORMULA SHOULD BE IDENTICAL FOR ALL PARTICIPANTS.

THE EAST CONTINUES TO ENVISAGE EQUAL PERCENTAGE ACROSS
THE BOARD REDUCTIONS OF ALL TYPES OF MILITARY PERSONNEL AND
ARMAMENTS WHICH WOULD ESTABLISH IN TREATY FORM A RIGHT FOR THE
EAST TO MAINTAIN ITS CONSIDERABLE ADVANTAGES IN MILITARY
MANPOWER AND ARMAMENTS.

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00187 02 OF 02 141837Z ACTION ACDA-02

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 PA-01 INR-01 ACDE-00 /005 W

-----141841Z 075398 /41

O R 141719Z APR 77 ZFF-4
FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2082
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
USIA WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO USMISSION NATO
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

UNCLAS SECTION 2 OF 2 MBFR VIENNA 0187

USIS FOR IPS/PE FOR LAFE ALLAN; IEU FOR KORDEK (FOR DEPT OF STATE BULLETIN)

THIS OUTCOME WOULD BE INEQUITABLE AND WOULD SERIOUSLY DIMINISH WESTERN SECURITY. MOREOVER, THE EAST'S CONTRACTUALIZED NUMERICAL ADVANTAGES INSIDE THE REDUCTION AREA WOULD BE ENHANCED BY THE EAST'S GEOGRAPHIC ADVANTAGES: INSIDE THE AREA, THE EAST WOULD HAVE MORE MANPOWER AND MORE ARMAMENTS THAN THE WEST. AND ADJOINING THE AREA OF REDUCTIONS, IS THE SOVIET UNION, ONE OF THE MOST POWERFUL COUNTRIES IN THE WORLD. SOVIET FORCES IN THE USSR ARE FAR LARGER THAN WESTERN FORCES IN THE

REDUCTION AREA AND WOULD BE SUBJECT TO NO NUMERICAL LIMITATIONS UNDER AN AGREEMENT.

WESTERN PARTICIPANTS WOULD IN ADDITION HAVE TO ACCEPT NATIONAL CEILINGS. THIS WOULD SERIOUSLY HAMPER THE OPERATION OF NATO'S INTEGRATED DEFENSE STRUCTURE AND COULD PREJUDICE THE FUTURE ORGANIZATION OF WESTERN EUROPEAN DEFENSE. NATIONAL CEILINGS COULD LIMIT THE WESTERN ABILITY TO MAINTAIN THE OVERALL NUMBER OF THEIR MILITARY MANPOWER AT AN AGREED LEVEL. UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00187 02 OF 02 141837Z

IT IS EVIDENT THAT THIS EASTERN APPROACH WOULD DIMINISH WESTERN SECURITY.

WE ARE DETERMINED TO DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO BRING ABOUT AN AGREEMENT. HOWEVER, THE EAST WILL HAVE TO REALIZE THAT ANY AGREEMENT MUST BE BASED ON THE REALITIES OF THE SITUATION AND NOT ON AN ARTIFICAL APPROACH WHICH INSISTS ON TREATING ALL ELEVEN DIRECT PARTICIPANTS AS THOUGH THEY WERE IDENTICAL.

IN ITS PROPOSALS OF DECEMBER 1975, THE WEST MADE SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONS TO THE REDUCTIONS AND LIMITATIONS IT HAS PREVIOUSLY PROPOSED. THESE PROPOSALS OFFERED A REASONABLE AND EQUITABLE BASIS FOR AGREEMENT BASED ON APPROXIMATE PARITY IN GROUND FORCES, INCLUDING A COLLECTIVE COMMON CEILING ON BOTH GROUND FORCE MANPOWER AND OVERALL MILITARY MANPOWER. WE STILL CONSIDER THAT THEY DESERVE A POSITIVE AND SERIOUS EASTERN RESPONSE.

HOWEVER, SO FAR THE EAST HAS GIVEN US NO SUCH RESPONSE. IN ITS FEBRUARY 1976 PROPOSAL, WHICH IS NOT SUCH A RESPONSE, THE EAST CONTINUED TO INSIST ON THE SAME EQUAL PERCENTAGE APPROACH, WITH NO CHANGE IN THE OUTCOME WHICH WOULD RESULT FROM ITS IMPLEMENTATION. THE ONLY CHANGE WAS A CHANGE IN THE SEQUENCE OF REDUCTIONS.

A MAJOR TOPIC OF OUR DISCUSSIONS DURING THIS ROUND HAS BEEN THE DATA ISSUE.

LAST JUNE, TWO AND AHALF YEARS AFTER THE WEST TABLED DATA IN NOVEMBER 1973, THE EAST PUT DOWN FIGURES ON ITS FORCES IN THE AREA. THERE WAS A CONSIDERABLE DISCREPANCY BETWEEN EASTERN AND WESTERN FIGURES ON EASTERN FORCES IN THE AREA. OUR DISCUSSIONS DURING THIS ROUND HAVE SOUGHT TO CLARIFY THE UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00187 02 OF 02 141837Z

UNDERLYING REASONS FOR THIS DISCREPANCY. THESE DISCUSSIONS HAVE BEEN CARRIED ON IN A BUSINESS-LIKE WAY. HOWEVER, THE SOURCES OF THE DISCREPANCY HAVE NOT YET BEEN IDENTIFIED. THESE DISCUSSIONS WILL HAVE TO BE CONTINUED IN VIEW OF THE NEED FOR UNDERSTANDING ON FORCE LEVELS FOR SOLUTION OF THE SIZE OF THE REDUCTIONS TO BE TAKEN BY EACH SIDE AND FOR RESULTING LIMITATIONS.

TO SUM UP: WE ARE DISAPPOINTED THAT THERE HAS NOT BEEN MORE PROGRESS IN THE PAST ROUND. HOWEVER, WE CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT A BASIS FOR PROGRESS EXISTS IF THE EAST MOVES TO A MORE REALISTIC APPROACH WHICH DOES TAKE ACCOUNT OF THE REAL AND IMPORTANT DIFFERENCES AMONG THE DIRECT PARTICIPANTS IN THESE NEGOTIATIONS. THE WESTERN APPROACH DOES THIS.RESOR

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: TEXT, NEGOTIATIONS, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS, PRESS RELEASES, MEETING REPORTS

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 14-Apr-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Case Number: n/a Disposition Comment:

Disposition Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Disposition Event:

Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977MBFRV00187
Document Source: CORE

Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: N/A **Expiration:**

Film Number: D770130-0102

Format: TEL

From: MBFR VIENNA Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770458/aaaabxuo.tel

Line Count: 240 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Message ID: b33d23a5-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Office: ACTION ACDA

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 77 MBFR VIENNA 186

Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 10-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 2807669 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: END OF ROUND XI PRESS STATEMENT GIVEN BY NETHERLANDS AMBASSADOR DE VOS APRIL 15, 1977

TAGS: PARM, XH, XT, NATO
To: STATE DOD

Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/b33d23a5-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009